27 ||

28

the hearing.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Martin A. Little, Nevada Bar No. 7067 Robert L. Rosenthal, Nevada Bar No. 6476 Robert Hernquist, Nevada Bar No. 10616 HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 257-1483 Email: rlr@h2law.com Email: mal@h2law.com Email: rwh@h2law.com Attorneys for Defendant M.J. Dean Construction		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	PARNELL COLVIN,	Case No. 2:20-cv-01765-APG-EJY	
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR ORDER VACATING, NUNC PRO TUNC, ORDER AND	
12	vs.	JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT M.J. DEAN CONSTRUCTION, INC.	
13	M.J. DEAN CONSTRUCTION, INC,		
14	Defendant.		
15		·	
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Defendant M.J. Dean Construction, Inc.		
17	("MJ Dean") and Plaintiff's former counsel, Jesse Sbaih & Associates, Ltd. ("Sbaih")		
18	(collectively, "the Parties") that,		
19	1. On March 25, 2024, the Parties	s agreed to settle Sbaih's Motion to Adjudicate	
20	Attorney's Lien ("Motion") and that Sbaih's Motion was dismissed against MJ Dean with		
21	prejudice. (ECF No. 123.)		
22	2. On March 25, 2024, counsel for	MJ Dean filed a Notice of Settlement with the	
23	Court, which stated the Motion "shall be dismissed with prejudice as against Dean, with each		
24	party bearing its own fees and costs." (ECF No. 135.)		
25	3. By agreeing to settle the Motion,	it was MJ Dean's intention to buy its peace before	
26	the evidentiary hearing set for March 26, 2024,	and to alleviate the inherent risk associated with	

MJ Dean's attorney, Robert Rosenthal, attended and testified at the evidentiary

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

hearing on March 26, 2024. Mr. Rosenthal testified that Sbaih and MJ Dean had entered into a confidential settlement agreement with respect to the Motion, that the Parties had filed a Notice of Settlement the day before stating that the Motion against MJ Dean was dismissed with prejudice, and that the Sbaih and MJ Dean would be filing a stipulation to dismiss the Motion with prejudice forthwith. The Court then excused Mr. Rosenthal from the evidentiary hearing. Mr. Rosenthal was not present during the evidentiary hearing when the Court issued its decision on the Motion.

- 5. Despite the fact that (a) the Parties agreed to settle the Motion before the evidentiary hearing, (b) Sbaih agreed to dismiss the Motion against MJ Dean with prejudice prior to the evidentiary hearing, (c) the Parties filed a Notice of Settlement before the evidentiary hearing, (d) Mr. Rosenthal advised the Court about the settlement and the dismissal of the Motion against MJ Dean with prejudice, and (e) and Mr. Rosenthal was excused from the evidentiary before the Court issued its decision, the Court nevertheless proceeded to issue a Minute Order and Judgment, which found that MJ Dean was *jointly and severally liable* with Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,470.00 with respect to Sbaih's Motion. (ECF Nos. 136 and 137.)
- 6. In light of the foregoing, the Parties hereby stipulate that the Court set aside and vacate the Minute Order and Judgment *nunc pro tunc* against MJ Dean in the following manner:
- The Court should set aside and vacate the portion of the Minute Order dated a. March 27, 2024, wherein the Court found MJ Dean jointly and severally liable with respect to Sbaih's Motion. (ECF No. 136)
- b. The Court should set aside and vacate the portion of the Court's Minute Order dated March 27, 2024, awarding Sbaih *Judgment* in the amount of \$5,470.00 as against MJ <u>Dean jointly and severally</u> with respect to Sbaih's Motion. The Parties hereby stipulate and agree that Judgment in the amount of \$5,470.00 should not be against MJ Dean and should not be joint and several. (See ECF No. 136.)
- The Court should set aside and vacate the Judgment, dated March 28, 2024, c. in favor of Plaintiff against MJ Dean jointly and severally in the amount of \$5,470.00 with respect to Sbaih's Motion. (ECF No. 137.)

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	5 6
	7
	8
	9
٦	10
SFLI	11
KNEY.	12
	13
KD A	14
10w∉	15
8	16
HOWARD & H	17
H	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

7.	The attached Proposed Order memorializes the Parties' stipulation, and the Parties
request that th	e Court enter the attached Proposed Order.
Dated this 28 th	day of March, 2024.

Howard & Howard Attorneys PLLC

/s/ Robert L. Rosenthal Robert L. Rosenthal, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6476 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Attorneys for Defendant

Jesse Sbaih & Associates, Ltd.

/s/ Jesse M. Sbaih Jesse M. Sbaih, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7898 The District at Green Valley Ranch Ste. 280 Henderson, Nevada 89012 Former Attorneys for Plaintiff

PROPOSED ORDER

1 2	Martin A. Little, Nevada Bar No. 7067 Robert L. Rosenthal, Nevada Bar No. 6476 Robert Hernquist, Nevada Bar No. 10616				
3	HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 257-1483				
4					
5	Email: rlr@h2law.com Email: mal@h2law.com Email: rwh@h2law.com				
6	Attorneys for Defendant M.J. Dean Construction	n Inc			
7	Altorneys for Defendant M.J. Dean Construction, Inc.				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
10	PARNELL COLVIN,	Case No. 2:20-cv-01765-APG-EJY			
11	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER VACATING, NUNC PRO TUNC, ORDER AND JUDGMENT			
12	vs.	AGAINST DEFENDANT M.J. DEAN CONSTRUCTION, INC.			
13	M.J. DEAN CONSTRUCTION, INC,				
14	Defendant.				
15	This matter is before the Court on the s	tipulation of Defendant M.I. Dean Construction			
16	This matter is before the Court on the stipulation of Defendant M.J. Dean Construction				
17	Inc. ("MJ Dean") and Plaintiff's former counsel, Jesse Sbaih & Associates, Ltd. ("Sbaih"				
18	(collectively, "the Parties"). The Court having	reviewed the Parties' stipulation, and good cause			
19	appearing, therefor,				
20	THE COURT FINDS AND ORDERS	:			
21	1. On March 25, 2024, the Parties	s agreed to settle Sbaih's Motion to Adjudicate			
22	Attorney's Lien.				
23	2. On March 25, 2024, Sbaih agree	d to dismiss the Motion to Adjudicate Attorney's			
24 25	Lien against MJ Dean with prejudice.				
26		MJ Dean filed a Notice of Settlement, which stated			
27	, ,				
28	the Sbaih's Motion to Adjudicate Attorney's Lien was dismissed with prejudice against MJ Dean				
20	with each Party bearing its own fees and costs.				

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 4. By agreeing to settle Sbaih's Motion to Adjudicate Attorney's Lien, MJ Dean intended to buy its peace before the Court's evidentiary hearing on March 26, 2024, and to alleviate the inherent risk associated with the hearing.
- MJ Dean's counsel, Robert Rosenthal, appeared at the evidentiary hearing on 5. March 26, 2024.
- 6. MJ Dean's counsel, Robert Rosenthal, testified at the evidentiary hearing that the Parties had entered into a confidential settlement agreement with respect to Sbaih's Motion to Adjudicate Attorney's Lien, that the Parties had filed a Notice of Settlement on March 25, 2024 stating that Sbaih agreed to dismiss the subject motion against MJ Dean with prejudice, and that the Parties intended to file a stipulation to dismiss Sbaih's Motion to Adjudicate Attorney's Lien with prejudice forthwith. The Court then excused Mr. Rosenthal from the evidentiary hearing. Mr. Rosenthal was not present during the evidentiary hearing when the Court issued its decision on Sbaih's Motion to Adjudicate Attorney's Lien.
- 7. The Parties stipulated that Sbaih's Motion to Adjudicate Attorney's Lien was dismissed with prejudice against MJ Dean before the matter was heard before the Court.
 - 8. The Parties stipulated that the Court enter the subject *nunc pro tunc* order.
 - 9. A *nunc pro tunc* order is appropriate under the circumstances.
- 10. Therefore, upon consideration of the Parties' Stipulation, in order to correct an error, the following occur:
- The Court's Minute Order dated March 26, 2024, shall be corrected by a. striking the words "MJ Dean Construction, Inc." and "joint and several" from the following sentence: Judgment in the amount of \$5,470.00 is awarded to Jesse Sbaih & Associates, LTD, and against MJ Dean Construction, Inc., and Parnell Colvin, joint and several. Accordingly, the foregoing sentence in the corrected Minute Order shall state "Judgment in the amount of \$5,470.00 is awarded to Jesse Sbaih & Associates, LTD, and against Parnell Colvin."

1	b. The Judgment dated March 28, 2024, shall be corrected by striking the		
2	words "MJ Dean Construction, Inc." and "joint and several" from the following sentence:		
3	Judgment in the amount of \$5,470.00 is awarded to Jesse Sbaih & Associates, LTD, and against		
4	MJ Dean Construction, Inc., and Parnell Colvin, joint and several. Accordingly, the foregoing		
5	sentence in the corrected Judgment shall state "Judgment in the amount of \$5,470.00 is awarded		
6	to Jesse Sbaih & Associates, LTD, and against Parnell Colvin."		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
8	Dated:		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
11	Respectfully submitted by:		
12	<u>/s/ Robert L. Rosenthal</u> Robert L. Rosenthal, Esq.		
13	Nevada Bar No. 6476 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 1000		
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Attorneys for Defendant		
15			
16	Approved as to form and content:		
17	Jesse Sbaih & Associates, Ltd.		
18	Jesse M. Sbaih Jesse M. Sbaih, Esq.		
19	Nevada Bar No. 7898 The District at Green Valley Ranch		
20	170 South Green Valley Parkway, Suite 280		
21	Henderson, Nevada 89012 Former Attorneys for Plaintiff		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26 27			
28			